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## Motivation

- Same-race/ethnicity teachers have positive effects on students':
- test score performance (Dee, 2004; Egalite et al., 2015)
- suspensions and expulsions (Lindsay \& Hart, 2017)
- absences (Holt \& Gershenson, 2019)
- academic expectations (Papageorge \& Gershenson, 2016)
- longer-run outcomes in college (Gershenson et al., 2018).
- Several theorized levers driving these effects:
- role modeling (Villegas \& Lucas, 2004; Fordham \& Ogbu, 1986)
- specific teaching/classroom practices (i.e., culturally responsive teaching, holding students to higher expectations; Irvine, 1989; Ladson-Billings, 1995)
- If role modeling effects are a primary channel, then other professionals in the school may also serve as role models affecting student outcomes, even if a student does not work with and learn from those individuals directly.
- RQ: What is the relationship between professional staff-student racial/ethnic matching and shortterm educational outcomes (i.e., test scores, suspensions, absences)?


## Data and Methods

- Administrative records from the Maryland Longitudinal Data System Center, between 2012-13 and 2018-19 school years.
- Focus on elementary school students, where role modeling effects may be largest.
- Focus on Black and Hispanic students (Bristol \& Martin-Fernandez, 2019; Redding, 2019).
- Key independent variable: (i) proportion of own teachers in the school of same-race/ethnicity as the student, and (ii) proportion of professional staff in the school of the same race/ethnicity as the student.
- Professional staff include: (i) not-own teachers, (ii) instructional leads (e.g., coaches), (iii) nurses, (iv) social workers, (v) counselors, (vi) special education leads, and (vii) administrators.
- Exclude principals, as principals hold unique function in school; principal turnover likely correlated with a number of changes to school culture.
- Outcomes of interest: end-of-year test scores in math and ELA (for grades 3 through 5), and dummy variables for ever suspended that year and chronically absent (for grades K to 5).
- We exploit plausibly random variation in the demographics of school staff within students and within schools over time:
- Models include fixed effects for: (i) students, (ii) school-grade, and (iii) year (Egalite et al., 2015; Holt \& Gershenson, 2019; Lindsay \& Hart, 2017)
- In preferred models also include principal fixed effects.


## Student Characteristics

|  | Person |  | Person/year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Prop | N | Prop |
| White | 376,363 | 0.357 | $1,090,879$ | 0.381 |
| Black | 351,294 | 0.333 | 953,090 | 0.332 |
| Hispanic | 196,564 | 0.186 | 483,449 | 0.169 |
| Asian | 74,099 | 0.070 | 184,251 | 0.064 |
| Other | 55,781 | 0.053 | 154,829 | 0.054 |
| Female | 514,875 | 0.488 | $1,396,359$ | 0.487 |
| Free-Reduced Price Lunch Eligibility | 500,976 | 0.475 | $1,368,615$ | 0.477 |
| English-Language Learner | 145,857 | 0.138 | 333,626 | 0.116 |
| Total | $1,054,101$ |  | $2,866,498$ |  |

## Teacher and Staff Characteristics

|  | Teachers |  |  |  | Professional staff |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person |  | Person/year |  | Person |  | Person/year |  |
|  | N | Prop | N | Prop | N | Prop | N | Prop |
| White | 49,836 | 0.706 | 227,469 | 0.740 | 9,225 | 0.693 | 36,081 | 0.703 |
| Black | 13,273 | 0.188 | 52,070 | 0.169 | 3,303 | 0.248 | 12,520 | 0.244 |
| Hispanic | 2,188 | 0.031 | 7,918 | 0.026 | Comparatively, Black students make up roughly $1 / 3$ of MD's elementary school population. |  |  | 0.021 |
| Asian | 2,549 | 0.036 | 10,585 | 0.034 |  |  |  | 0.014 |
| Other | 2,717 | 0.039 | 9,346 | 0.030 | 250 | 0.019 | 915 | 0.018 |
| Female | 58,235 | 0.825 | 258,282 | 0.840 | 11,325 | 0.851 | 44,297 | 0.863 |
| Total | 70,563 |  | 307,388 |  | 13,308 |  | 51,309 |  |

## Teacher and Staff Characteristics

|  | Teachers |  |  |  | Professional staff |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Person |  | Person/year |  | Person |  | Person/year |  |
|  | N | Prop | N | Prop | N | Prop | N | Prop |
| White | 49,836 | 0.706 | 227,469 | 0.740 | 9,225 | 0.693 | 36,081 | 0.703 |
| Black | 13,273 | 0.188 | 52,070 | 0.169 | 3,303 | 0.248 | 12,520 | 0.244 |
| Hispanic | 2,188 | 0.031 | 7,918 | 0.026 | 319 | 0.024 | 1,069 | 0.021 |
| Asian | 2,549 | 0.036 | 10,585 | 0.034 | Comparatively, Hispanic students make up roughly $1 / 5$ of MD's elementary school population. |  |  | 0.014 |
| Other | 2,717 | 0.039 | 9,346 | 0.030 |  |  |  | 0.018 |
| Female | 58,235 | 0.825 | 258,282 | 0.840 | 11,5<3 | 0.001 | 44, 29, | 0.863 |
| Total | 70,563 |  | 307,388 |  | 13,308 |  | 51,309 |  |

## Independent and Dependent Variables



## Results: Black Students

|  | Math (SD) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELA } \\ & \text { (SD) } \end{aligned}$ | Suspended (0/1) | Chronic Absence (0/1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panel A: Of Color |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Own Teachers of Color | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0257+ \\ & (0.0135) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0101 \\ (0.0113) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.00659^{* * *} \\ (0.00182) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.00930^{* *} \\ (0.00294) \end{gathered}$ |
| Proportion Other Professionals of Color | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0290 \\ & (0.0538) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00701 \\ & (0.0460) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0203^{*} \\ (0.00845) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00948 \\ & (0.0132) \end{aligned}$ |
| Panel B: Same-Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Black Own Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0366^{*} \\ & (0.0149) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0149 \\ (0.0127) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.00882^{* * *} \\ (0.00214) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0126 * * * \\ (0.00340) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Proportion Own Teachers of Color/Not BlackProportion Black Other Professionals | -0.00305 | -0.00290 | -0.00115 | -0.00122 |
|  | Similar patterns as other analyses: access to same-race teachers associated with increased test scores and decreased suspensions and absences of Black students. |  |  |  |
| Proportion Other Professionals of Color/Not Black | -0.0819 | 0.0484 | -0.0131 | 0.00523 |
|  | (0.0765) | (0.0650) | (0.0115) | (0.0195) |
| Observations (student/year) | 398959 | 397714 | 850849 | 850849 |
| Student and school level controls | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Student, school-grade, year, principal fixed effects | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |

## Results: Black Students

|  | Math (SD) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELA } \\ & \text { (SD) } \end{aligned}$ | Suspended (0/1) | Chronic Absence (0/1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panel A: Of Color |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Own Teachers of Color | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0257+ \\ & (0.0135) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0101 \\ (0.0113) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.00659^{* * *} \\ (0.00182) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.00930^{* *} \\ (0.00294) \end{gathered}$ |
| Proportion Other Professionals of Color | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0290 \\ & (0.0538) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00701 \\ & (0.0460) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0203^{*} \\ & (0.00845) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00948 \\ & (0.0132) \end{aligned}$ |
| Panel B: Same-Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Black Own Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0366^{*} \\ & (0.0149) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0149 \\ (0.0127) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.00882^{* * *} \\ (0.00214) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0126^{* * *} \\ (0.00340) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Proportion Own Teachers of Color/Not Black | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00305 \\ & (0.0209) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00290 \\ & (0.0169) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00115 \\ & (0.00256) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00122 \\ & (0.00451) \end{aligned}$ |
| Proportion Black Other Professionals | -0.00706 | -0.00910 | -0.0229* | -0.0150 |
|  | For Black students, access to non-Black teachers of color does not appear to be impactful |  |  |  |
| Proportion Other Professionals of Color/Not Black | (0.0765) | (0.0650) | (0.0115) | (0.0195) |
| Observations (student/year) | 398959 | 397714 | 850849 | 850849 |
| Student and school level controls | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| Student, school-grade, year, principal fixed effects | Y | Y | $Y$ | Y |

## Results: Black Students

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELA } \\ & \text { (SD) } \end{aligned}$ | Suspended (0/1) | Chronic Absence (0/1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panel A: Of Color |  |  |  |
| Proportion Own Teachers of Color | $\begin{gathered} 0.0101 \\ (0.0113) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.00659^{* * *} \\ (0.00182) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.00930^{* *} \\ (0.00294) \end{gathered}$ |
| Proportion Other Professionals of Color | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00701 \\ & (0.0460) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0203^{*} \\ (0.00845) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00948 \\ & (0.0132) \end{aligned}$ |
| Panel B: Same-Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| Proportion Black Own Teachers | $\begin{gathered} 0.0149 \\ (0.0127) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.00882 * * * \\ (0.00214) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.0126^{* * *} \\ (0.00340) \end{gathered}$ |
| Proportion Own Teachers of Color/Not Black | $\begin{array}{r} -0.00290 \\ (0.0169) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.00115 \\ (0.00256) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.00122 \\ (0.00451) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Proportion Black Other Professionals | $\begin{array}{r} -0.00910 \\ (0.0500) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0229^{*} \\ & (0.00924) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0150 \\ & (0.0145) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Proportion Other Professionals of Color/Not Black | $\begin{gathered} 0.0484 \\ (0.0650) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0131 \\ (0.0115) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00523 \\ (0.0195) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Observations (student/year) Acces <br> Student and school level controls suspension <br> Student, school-grade, year, principa  | Access to Black school professional staff associated with decreased suspensions. Relationship to test scores close to zero $\rightarrow$ professional staff less connected to academic content. |  |  |

## Results: Hispanic Students



## Results: Hispanic Students

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Math } \\ \text { (SD) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELA } \\ & \text { (SD) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Suspended } \\ (0 / 1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Chronic Absence (0/1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panel A: Of Color |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Own Teachers of Color | $\begin{gathered} 0.0487 * * \\ (0.0186) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0414^{*} \\ (0.0167) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000140 \\ & (0.00114) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00563 \\ & (0.00371) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Proportion Other Professionals of Color | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0777 \\ (0.0773) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0310 \\ (0.0737) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00626 \\ (0.00546) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00319 \\ & (0.0170) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Panel B: Same-Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Hispanic Own Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0669+ \\ & (0.0354) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0218 \\ (0.0342) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.000594 \\ & (0.00246) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.000556 \\ & (0.00827) \end{aligned}$ |
| Proportion Own Teachers of Color/Not Hispanic | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0459 * \\ & (0.0197) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0447 * \\ & (0.0179) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000276 \\ & (0.00123) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.00634 \\ (0.00393) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proportion Hispanic Other Professionals | 0.237 | -0.0382 | 0.0199 | 0.0845* |
|  | Different from Black students, Hispanic students' test scores also appear to benefit from teachers of color generally. |  |  |  |
| Proportion Other Professionals of Color/Not Hispa | (0.0804) | (0.0777) | (0.00578) | (0.0178) |
| Observations (student/year) | 195927 | 191582 | 432079 | 432079 |
| Student and school level controls | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Student, school-grade, year, principal fixed effects | Y | Y | Y | $Y$ |

## Results: Hispanic Students

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Math } \\ \text { (SD) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ELA } \\ & \text { (SD) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Suspended } \\ (0 / 1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Chronic Absence $(0 / 1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panel A: Of Color |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Own Teachers of Color | $\begin{gathered} 0.0487^{* *} \\ (0.0186) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0414^{*} \\ & (0.0167) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000140 \\ & (0.00114) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00563 \\ & (0.00371) \end{aligned}$ |
| Proportion Other Professionals of Color | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0777 \\ (0.0773) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0310 \\ (0.0737) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00626 \\ (0.00546) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.00319 \\ & (0.0170) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Panel B: Same-Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| Proportion Hispanic Own Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0669+ \\ & (0.0354) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0218 \\ (0.0342) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.000594 \\ & (0.00246) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.000556 \\ & (0.00827) \end{aligned}$ |
| Proportion Own Teachers of Color/Not Hispanic | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0459^{*} \\ & (0.0197) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0447 * \\ & (0.0179) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.000276 \\ (0.00123) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.00634 \\ (0.00393) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proportion Hispanic Other Professionals | $\begin{gathered} 0.237 \\ (0.190) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0382 \\ & (0.156) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0199 \\ (0.0123) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0845^{*} \\ & (0.0417) \end{aligned}$ |
| Proportion Other Professionals of Color/Not Hispanic | $\begin{gathered} 0.0543 \\ (0.0804) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0292 \\ (0.0777) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00434 \\ (0.00578) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0158 \\ (0.0178) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Observations (studept/unar) <br> Student and school <br> For professionals, patt <br> Student, school-grad | For professionals, patterns inconsistent, and estimates often underpowered. Potential positive relationship to math test scores...but also potentially more suspensions and absences. |  |  |  |

## Discussion

- Results for exposure to same-race/ethnicity teachers are consistent with what other scholars have found.
- Black students benefit from being exposed to all not-white minority teachers and to all Black teachers, specifically in math test scores and the likelihood of being suspended or being chronically absent.
- Hispanic students also benefit from being exposed to all not-white minority teachers and to all Hispanic teachers, but only in math and ELA test scores.
- Above and beyond exposure to same-race/ethnicity teachers, we find that:
- For Black students, exposure to all not-white and same-race/ethnicity professional staff is associated with a reduction of 0.02 percentage points in the likelihood of being suspended. The coefficient on the likelihood of being chronically absent is in the expected direction, but not statistically different from zero.
- For Hispanic students, the coefficients of exposure to all not-white professional staff on ever suspended and chronic absence, and the coefficient of exposure to all Hispanic professional staff on chronic absence are positive. The latter is statistically different from zero: the likelihood of being chronically absent increases by 8 percentage points when Hispanic students are exposed to an all-Hispanic professional staff.
- Professional staff seem to have no clear association with test scores.


## Conclusion \& Next Steps

- Our preliminary findings point to a need to hire and support diverse school-based staff not just amongst teachers but also amongst professionals who contribute to student success.
- However, we need to better understand the effects of minority staff members and Hispanic students.
- Overall, we may learn more from a larger sample $\rightarrow$ adding middle and high-school students may allow us to better estimate the parameters, considering that:
- Race/ethnicity staff-matching proportion is very small for Hispanic students.
- Suspensions and absences are more likely to occur in later grade levels.
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