

HIGH SCHOOL PREDICTORS OF NEEDING REMEDIAL COURSEWORK IN COLLEGE

Angela K. Henneberger, Susan Klumpner, Mathew
Uretsky, & Michael E. Woolley

Maryland Longitudinal Data System Center
University of Maryland, Baltimore

Presented at the 2016 MSDE Data Summit

June 17, 2016

<https://mldscenter.maryland.gov/>

INTRODUCTION

- A college-ready student should enter college with the expectation of passing an introductory credit-bearing course.
- Under-prepared students need to take non-credit bearing courses (remedial coursework) prior to enrolling in credit-bearing courses.
- Nationally, about 20% of students entering college report taking remedial courses (Sparks & Malkus, 2013).
- Indicates a mismatch in high school academic preparation and college academic expectations.

INTRODUCTION

- Remedial coursework is costly and time-consuming (Knepler et al., 2014).
- 30% of students do not go on to take a credit-bearing course (Jones et al., 2012).
- Students requiring remediation take 4-8 months longer to graduate (Complete College American, 2012).
- Minority students and low SES students are more likely to need remediation (Attewell et al., 2006).

INTRODUCTION

- Current practice identifies students needing remedial coursework at the transition from high school into postsecondary
- Examining high school predictors enables earlier identification of students for earlier targeting of practice and policy
- This examination requires linked student data from K-12 into postsecondary

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- What are the overall rates of assessment to need remedial coursework in math, English, and reading in Maryland?
- What are the associations between demographic characteristics, high school attendance, and high school assessment scores with likelihood of assessment to need remedial coursework?

POPULATION (HIGH SCHOOL)

- Data are from the Maryland Longitudinal Data System (MLDS)
- 2012-2013 12th grade cohort
- $N = 63,896$ students in 269 high schools
 - 50% Female
 - 36% Black; 49% White; 15% Other
 - 9% Hispanic
 - 33% FARMs
 - 2.5% ELL
 - 11.5% Special Education

POPULATION (COLLEGE)

- $N = 30,452$ (48%) enrolled in a Maryland postsecondary institution in the following academic year (2013-2014)
 - 53% Female
 - 32% Black; 50% White; 17% Other
 - 9% Hispanic
 - 27% FARMs
 - 2.3% ELL
 - 7% Special Education

MEASURE: REMEDIAL COURSEWORK

- Remedial assessments used were from academic year 2013-2014
- Math, English, and Reading assessments administered to first time undergraduates
- Coded 0/1
 - 0 = remediation not assessed or not needed;
 - 1 = either assessed to need remedial coursework or took remedial coursework

MEASURE: HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE

- Number of five-day school week equivalents a student attended during the 2012-2013 academic year
 - Calculated a ratio of days attended/days enrolled
 - Used ratio to calculate the number of weeks a student would have attended if he/she were enrolled the entire school year (36 weeks)
- *Mean* weeks attended = 33.69 (*SD* = 2.29)
- *Mean* weeks absent = 2.31 (*SD* = 2.29)

MEASURE: STATE HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENTS

- Algebra and English assessments are included
- Created an indicator of the number of times the student failed the HSA
- 80% of students had 0 failed Algebra attempts
 - *Range* = 0-13 failed attempts
- 83% of students had 0 failed English attempts
 - *Range* = 0-10 failed attempts

WHAT ARE THE RATES OF ASSESSMENT TO NEED REMEDIAL COURSEWORK?

Subject	Percent
Math	39%
English	19%
Reading	18%
At least 1 subject	42%
2 or more subjects	21%

ANALYSES

- Multi-level model (2 levels)
 - Level 1 = individual student ($N = 30,452$)
 - Level 2 = high school ($N = 269$)
- Binary event as outcome
 - 0 = remediation not assessed or not needed;
 - 1 = either assessed to need remedial coursework or took remedial coursework

RESULTS: PREDICTING REMEDIAL COURSEWORK IN MATH (LEVEL 1 MODEL)

	<i>Est (SE)</i>	<i>OR</i>
Intercept	0.96 (0.22)	
Gender	0.06 (0.03)	1.06
Black	0.48 (0.04)	1.61
Other Race	-0.16 (0.05)	0.86
Hispanic	0.59 (0.05)	1.81
FARMs	0.25 (0.03)	1.28
ELL	-1.24 (0.10)	0.29
Special Ed	0.58 (0.06)	1.79
Attendance	-0.06 (0.01)	0.95
HSA	1.02 (0.04)	2.77

RESULTS: PREDICTING REMEDIAL COURSEWORK IN ENGLISH (LEVEL 1 MODEL)

	<i>Est (SE)</i>	<i>OR</i>
Intercept	-0.78 (0.25)	
Gender	0.09 (0.03)	1.10
Black	0.45 (0.05)	1.57
Other Race	-0.01 (0.06)	0.99
Hispanic	0.48 (0.06)	1.62
FARMs	0.32 (0.04)	1.38
ELL	-1.02 (0.12)	0.36
Special Ed	0.89 (0.06)	2.42
Attendance	-0.05 (0.01)	0.96
HSA	1.77 (0.04)	5.88

RESULTS: PREDICTING REMEDIAL COURSEWORK IN READING (LEVEL 1 MODEL)

	<i>Est (SE)</i>	<i>OR</i>
Intercept	-2.28 (0.27)	
Gender	0.26 (0.04)	1.29
Black	0.64 (0.05)	1.90
Other Race	0.08 (0.06)	1.08
Hispanic	0.48 (0.07)	1.62
FARMs	0.27 (0.04)	1.32
ELL	-0.97 (0.12)	0.38
Special Ed	0.87 (0.06)	2.38
Attendance	-0.01 (0.01)	0.99
HSA	1.70 (0.04)	5.49

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- 20-30% of variability in needing remedial coursework was accounted for by high school
- Black and Hispanic students were more likely to need remedial coursework
- Students eligible for FARMs were slightly more likely to need remedial coursework
- ELL students were less likely and special education students were more likely to need remedial coursework
- Students who failed the HSA had 2-6x the odds of needing remedial coursework

LIMITATIONS

- Model presented here relies on remedial assessment information from the first year of a new data collection
 - Some postsecondary institutions had very low rates of reporting remedial assessments in the new collection
 - Model will be re-run with most recent year of data; results may change slightly, but patterns are expected to be similar
- Remedial assessment information is available only for students who attended Maryland postsecondary institutions
- Continuous measure of remedial assessment scores is not available and would provide for more rigorous analyses

DISCUSSION

- High school factors substantially impact whether a student is assessed to need remedial coursework upon entering college.
- Findings suggest race, ethnicity, and SES disparities in assessed need for remedial coursework.
- Current general education and special education services are not adequately preparing students for entry level college coursework.
- Finding that ELL students were less likely to need remedial coursework was unexpected. It is possible that only the highest achieving ELL students enrolled in college.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND CHALLENGES

- Greater focus on college readiness is needed at the state, district, and high school levels.
- College selectivity and expansion of college access likely play a role.
- More federal, state, and local funding (or re-allocation of current resources) and programmatic supports are needed to prepare high school students for college.
- Identification of early risk factors for being under-prepared enables targeted services in high school. However, under-resourced high schools must be able to implement such assessment and services.

DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

- Examining degree attainment and time to degree for students assessed to need remedial coursework
- Analyses at the high school district and postsecondary institution levels
- Examination of workforce outcomes
- Additional high school predictors of interest

Contact:

Angela K. Henneberger, Ph.D.

Director of Research, Maryland Longitudinal Data
System Center

University of Maryland, Baltimore

School of Social Work

ahenneberger@ssw.umaryland.edu

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful for the data, technical, and research support provided by the MLDS Center and its agency partners. The views and opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the MLDS Center or its agency partners.

The MLDS Center is an independent agency of the State of Maryland. The mission of the Center is to develop and maintain the Maryland Longitudinal Data System in order to provide analyses, produce relevant information, and inform choices to improve student and workforce outcomes in the State of Maryland.

