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## Background and Motivation

Empirical studies (e.g., Dee \& Jacob, 2007; Papay, Murnane \& Willett, 2010) suggest that high school exit exams are not meeting their goals.

Instead, they have been found to:

- be associated with increased probability of dropout
- exacerbate inequalities in high school completion
- have no impact on employment and earnings


## Background and Motivation

Hemelt and Marcotte (2013) look at changes in states' graduation after implementing or expanding exit exams

On average, graduation rates decrease when exit exams are added

No effect of exit exams on dropout rates for states (including Maryland) that offer a non-test alternative path to graduation

## This Study

Programs like Bridge cushion the effect of high-stakes exit exams and allow more students to graduate

Do students who graduate through non-test pathways have adequate preparation for post-secondary success?

Research questions:

1. Do Bridge completers have similar post-secondary outcomes to test passer?
2. Do Bridge completers have better post-secondary outcomes than high school non-completers?

## Maryland HSA Policy

| Year | English | Algebra | Biology | Government |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | x | x | x | x |
| 2009 | x | x | x | x |
| 2010 | x | x | x | x |
| 2011 | x | x | x | x |
| 2012 | x | x | x | NOT REQ |
| 2013 | x | x | x |  |
| 2014 | x | x |  |  |
| 2015 |  | x |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  | x |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  | x |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |

## High School Cohorts for Analysis

| Year | English | Algebra | Biology | Government |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | x |
| 2009 | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | x |
| 2010 | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | x |
| 2011 | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | x |
| 2012 | x | x | x | NOT REQ |
| 2013 | x | x | x |  |
| 2014 |  | x |  |  |
| 2015 |  | x |  |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |

Maryland Longitudinal Data System

## High School Cohorts in Analysis

| Enter 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ grade | Graduate <br> HS* | post-secondary <br> Year 1 | HSAs required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fa 2008 | Sp 2012 | Fa 2012 | eng, alg, bio + |
| Fa 2009 | Sp 2013 | Fa 2013 | eng, alg, bio + |
| Fa 2010 | Sp 2014 | Fa 2014 | eng, alg, bio + |
| Fa 2011 | Sp 2015 | Fa 2015 | eng, alg, bio |

* assumes normal progress through high school
+ government HSA was given but not required for graduation


## HSA Outcomes 2008-2012 Cohorts



## Pathways by Student Characteristics



## Where do Students Bridge?



## Matched Analysis of Similar Students



## HS Completion for Students Who

 Fail 2 HSAs

## Limitations

- We only observe completed Bridge and not attempted Bridge
- Interaction of performance in different subjects is likely complex (average student is eligible to Bridge in 2.6 subjects)
- UI data includes formal, non-federal gov't employment in Maryland and likely omits employment types that are typical for graduates with low test scores
- Students decide to Bridge based on many unobserved factors (teacher advice, peer influence, feelings about tests, etc.). Results are not causal effects of Bridge but observed differences between very similar students who do and do not Bridge.


## Unmatched Outcomes

by Pathway


## Unmatched Outcomes by Pathway



## Matched Analysis by Subject




## Matched Analysis by School Districts - Algebra Only



## Key Findings

Bridge vs. Test Passers Bridge vs. No Diploma
2-year college
-2 to 4 pts +6 to 8 pts

4-year college
-2 to 3 pts
No difference

Employment
No difference
+6 to 9 pts

Wages

- 10 to 15\% for Algebra only
+19 to $26 \%$ for Biology and English


## Implications

- Compared to non-completers, Bridge appears to provide human capital that is valuable for college access and employment
- Compared to passing tests, Bridge has a disadvantage in college access but similar employment outcomes
- Bridging and testing influence wages in different ways across subjects suggesting that employers value different types of skills

Issues for policy makers raised by these findings:
$\checkmark$ What combinations of tests and projects across subjects that might best prepare students for college and workforce
$\checkmark$ Can Bridge college rate be increased through college exposure during Bridge?
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## Sample for Comparison

$\checkmark$ Eligible for Bridge - failed an exit exam twice
$\checkmark$ Motivated to graduate
Attempted all exams at least once
Enrolled in $4^{\text {th }}$ year of high school
$\checkmark$ Consistency in Bridge policy
4 years in one local school system
No more than one high school transfer

## Estimation Methods

$\checkmark$ Regression methods compare students from the same district and school with identical race, gender, and FARMS status and similar failing exam score
$\checkmark$ Control for all first-attempt HSA scores
$\checkmark$ Control for $8^{\text {th }}$ grade engagement and performance though days absent, suspensions, and MSA scores
$\checkmark$ Control for additional characteristics
Title I, Homeless, SPED, ELL
High school cohort year

